Invasive treatment of Atrial Fibrillation

Daniel Correa de Sa, MD

Cardiac electrophysiology

Assistant Professor of Medicine

Cardiac Electrophysiology Fellowship Program Director

Disclosures

► None



Peter Spector, MD



Nate Thompson, MD



Joseph Winget, MD



Daniel Lustgarten, MD, PhD



Robert Lobel, MD



Daniel Correa de Sa, MD





Pierre Znojkiewicz, MD



Joseph Muller, MD



Tracy Hagerty, MD



Margaret MacDonald



Nancy Strong



Elysha Wiest



Susan A. Hamlyn-Prescott



Nicole Habel

Deb Moyer



Maggie Infeld



Sue Calame - Manager - 2007 Gregory Johnson - 2001 Darlene Maxwell - 2003 Patrick Weber - 2003 Julie Eastman - 2004 Heather Kinsey - 2016 Maureen Frede - 2018 Maureen Sullivan - 2019 Danny Williams - 2019 Adam Gray - 2019 Patra Vail "Happy" - 2020 Erin Blake - 2020 Liza Martin - 2021 Amanda Taylor -2022



The Five Domains of Integrated AF Management





www.escardio.org/guidelines

Outline

A- AF ablation:

- Introduce AF concepts relevant to the invasive treatment of AF
- Understand how ablation for atrial fibrillation is performed
- Review patient's experience with ablation

B- When can a pacemaker help?

- C- Left atrial appendage occlude device implant
- Patient selection

AF Mechanisms and Ablation Concepts



Heart Rhythm, Vol 14, No 10, October 2017

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Spontaneous Initiation of Atrial Fibrillation by Ectopic Beats Originating in the Pulmonary Veins

Michel Haïssaguerre, M.D., Pierre Jaïs, M.D., Dipen C. Shah, M.D., Atsushi Takahashi, M.D., Mélèze Hocini, M.D., Gilles Quiniou, M.D., Stéphane Garrigue, M.D., Alain Le Mouroux, M.D., Philippe Le Métayer, M.D., and Jacques Clémenty, M.D.



September 3, 1998 N Engl J Med 1998; 339:659-666 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199809033391003





Initiation of long term rhythm control therapy in symptomatic patients with atrial fibrillation





www.escardio.org/guidelines

European Heart Journal - doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehw210



As of 2022....

Ablation can be offered as first line therapy if a rhythm control strategy is selected

- To improve quality of life and minimize symptoms
- May improve mortality in HFrEF for selected pts



A. John Camm et al. JAm Coll Cardiol 2022; 79:1932-1948.

Patient preparation

- Procedure performed on uninterrupted anticoagulation
- CT scan to define LA anatomy

Procedure day

► General anesthesia

Transesophageal
 echocardiogram

► Femoral vein access (B/L)





















Post procedure

- Overnight stay
- Discharge on anticoagulation (at least 90 days)
- Discharge on proton pump inhibitors x 30 days
- Activity restriction for 2 weeks

CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION: Freedom From Recurrent Atrial Fibrillation by Randomized Therapy and Symptoms in 1,240 Patients Using the CABANA Electrocardiogram Recording Monitors Post-90-Day Blanking



Poole, J.E. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(25):3105-18.

Paroxysmal vs Persistent



Jeanne E. Poole et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020; 75:3105-3118.

2020 American College of Cardiology Foundation



Success rate

Reported rate will depend on:

- How do you measure (burden of AF vs all or nothing)
- Paroxysmal vs Persistent
- Age and comorbidities

What do I tell pts:

- Paroxysmal (60-70 % success rate with 1/3-1/4 pts requiring a second procedure to achieve success rate to about 80%)
- Persistent (50% success rate with at least ½ pts requiring a second procedure to achieve success rate to 60-70%)

Table 1. Adverse Effects of Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation.*

Adverse Effect	Incidence %	Recommended Monitoring	Management
Death	0.15		
Cardiac tamponade	1.2–6.0	Blood-pressure monitoring, examination of cardiac silhouette on chest radiographic study, echocardiography	Reversal of anticoagulation, immediate pericardiocentesis, surgery if accu- mulation is ongoing
Stroke	0–2	Neurologic examination	Depends on center; consider thrombol- ysis or intervention
Pulmonary-vein stenosis	0.5–2.0	CT or MRI 3–4 mo after ablation	If stenosis is severe, with symptoms, then dilation and possible stenting of the pulmonary vein or veins
Phrenic-nerve injury	0–11	Fluoroscopy	Most patients recover without treatment
Regular atrial arrhythmia†	5–25	Transtelephonic monitoring, Holter monitoring, use of implantable loop recorder	Antiarrhythmic drugs, perform ablation again
Vascular complications (arteriovenous fistula, pseudoaneurysm)	0.5–5.0	Vascular ultrasonography	Percutaneous or open vascular surgery
Esophageal injury with ulceration	10	Esophageal temperature probe	Most patients heal without treatment
Atrioesophageal fistula	0.04	Maintain high index of suspicion for this com- plication (symptoms such as fever, chills, recurrent neurologic events, or sepsis occur 2–4 wk after ablation); CT or MRI	Surgery

Pt journey 1

- 55 years old lady with history of treated obstructive sleep apnea, obesity and hypertension has had paroxysmal episodes of palpitation for the past 6 months
- Event monitor demonstrated episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation associated with symptoms
- She is started on diltiazem and anticoagulation
- Symptoms improve slightly, but she continues to experience episodes that interfere with her quality of life

Options

A- Continue current management

B- Antiarrhythmic drugs

C- Ablation

Pt opted for AF ablation

- Discharged home 1 day after procedure
- Visit to the ER for chest pain 3 days after the procedure
- Diagnosed with pericarditis, treated with Colchicine
- Free of symptomatic AF one year post procedure

Particularly challenge groups

Untreated OSA

Uncontrolled HTN/DM

Severe Lung disease

Severe Obesity

Pt journey 2

- 60 years old presented with HFrEF (EF 20%), AF w RVR and LBBB in 2015
- CV failed
- HF continued despite medical therapy, underwent implant of a BIV ICD
- Atrial fibrillation was interfering with BIV pacing, so he was started on dofetilide therapy
- Stayed in sinus rhythm for 2 years
- Recurrence of persistent AF despite dofetilide
- EF now 40 %. Some fatigue. No HF hospitalizations.
- IN AF, BIV pacing <90% despite digoxin and maximum tolerated BB

Options

A - leave good enough alone

B- Amiodarone

C- AV node ablation

D- AF ablation

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation with Heart Failure

Nassir F. Marrouche, M.D., Johannes Brachmann, M.D., Dietrich Andresen, M.D., Jürgen Siebels, M.D., Lucas Boersma, M.D., Luc Jordaens, M.D., Béla Merkely, M.D., Evgeny Pokushalov, M.D., Prashanthan Sanders, M.D., Jochen Proff, B.S., Heribert Schunkert, M.D., Hildegard Christ, M.D., <u>et al.</u>, for the CASTLE-AF Investigators*





Table 2. Primary and Secondary Clinical End Points.*

End Point	Ablation (N = 179)	Medical Therapy (N=184)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P Value	
				Cox Regression	Log-Rank Test
	number	(percent)			
Primary '	51 (28.5)	82 (44.6)	0.62 (0.43–0.87)	0.007	0.006
Secondary					
Death from any cause	24 (13.4)	46 (25.0)	0.53 (0.32–0.86)	0.01	0.009
Heart-failure hospitalization	37 (20.7)	66 (35.9)	0.56 (0.37–0.83)	0.004	0.004
Cardiovascular death	20 (11.2)	41 (22.3)	0.49 (0.29–0.84)	0.009	0.008
Cardiovascular hospitalization	64 (35.8)	89 (48.4)	0.72 (0.52–0.99)	0.04	0.04
Hospitalization for any cause	114 (63.7)	122 (66.3)	0.99 (0.77–1.28)	0.96	0.96
Cerebrovascular accident	5 (2.8)	11 (6.0)	0.46 (0.16–1.33)	0.15	0.14

* All numbers and percentages represent the total numbers of events and raw event rates after a median follow-up of 37.8 months. Deaths and cerebrovascular accidents were evaluated at baseline and 12 weeks after baseline for hospitalizations in the two groups (the "blanking period"). For Kaplan–Meier estimates at 12, 36, and 60 months, see Table S6 in the Supplementary Appendix.
 † The primary end point is a composite of death from any cause or hospitalization for worsening heart failure.





Subgroup	Ablation	Medical Therapy	Hazard Ratio (9	5% CI)	P Value for Interaction
0	no. of eve	nts/no. of patients	·	,	
Type of atrial fibrillation					0.90
Paroxysmal	17/54	34/64		0.60 (0.34-1.08)	
Parcistant	34/125	48/120		0.64 (0.41-0.99)	
CRT-D implanted					0.60
No	37/131	57/132		0.65 (0.43-0.98)	
Yes	14/48	25/52 —		0.54 (0.28-1.04)	
ICD indication					0.20
Primary	43/160	72/163		0.57 (0.39-0.83)	
Secondary	8/19	10/21		1.03 (0.41-2.62)	
Sex					0.36
Female	9/23	12/29		0.93 (0.39-2.21)	
Male	42/156	70/155		0.58 (0.39-0.84)	
Age					0.17
<05 yr	18/96	34/99 —		0.48 (0.27-0.85)	
>65 yr	33/83	48/85		0.79 (0.50-1.23)	
NYHA functional class					0.06
11	20/101	46/109		0.42 (0.25-0.72)	
111	22/50	26/49		0.89 (0.51-1.58)	
LVEF					0.01
<23%	20/34	15/27		1.36 (0.69-2.65)	
≥25%	29/130	61/145 -		0.48 (0.31-0.74)	
Cause of heart failure					0.56
Nonischemic	26/107	29/88		0.74 (0.43-1.25)	
Ischemic	25/72	53/96		0.60 (0.37-0.97)	
Diabetes					0.06
No	32/136	48/117	_	0.52 (0.33-0.81)	
Yes	19/43	34/67		1.01 (0.58-1.78)	
Hypertension					0.88
No	12/50	19/48 —		0.59 (0.28-1.21)	
Yes	39/129	63/136		0.63 (0.42-0.93)	
Amiodarone use					0.66
No	37/122	61/133		0.65 (0.43-0.97)	
Yes	13/55	18/46 —		0.55 (0.27-1.13)	
Digitalis use					0.68
No	41/146	52/124		0.65 (0.43-0.98)	
Yes	9/31	27/56		0.56 (0.26-1.19)	
Beta-blocker use					0.47
No	4/12	4/9		1.01 (0.25-4.05)	
Yes	46/165	75/171		0.60 (0.42-0.87)	
		0.25	0.50 1.00 2.00	4.00	
		*	Ablation Madical There		
			Better Better	ab)	

2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society in Collaboration With the Society of Thoracic Surgeons

Craig T. January, L. Samuel Wann, Hugh Calkins, Lin Y. Chen, Joaquin E. Cigarroa, Joseph C. Cleveland Jr, Patrick T. Ellinor, Michael D. Ezekowitz, Michael E. Field, Karen L. Furie, Paul A. Heidenreich, Katherine T. Murray, Julie B. Shea, Cynthia M. Tracy and Clyde W. Yancy

Originally published 28 Jan 2019 | https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.00000000000665 | Circulation. 2019;140:e125-e151

6.3.4. Catheter Ablation in HF

Recommendation for Catheter Ablation in HF Referenced studies that support the new recommendation are summarized in Online Data Supplement 7.

COR	LOE	Recommendation
llb	B-R	 AF catheter ablation may be reasonable in selected patients with symptomatic AF and HF with reduced left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (HFrEF) to potentially lower mortality rate and reduce hospitalization for HF.^{56.3.4-1,S6.3.4-2} NEW: New evidence, including data on improved mortality rate, has been published for AF catheter ablation compared with medical therapy in patients with HF.

Our pt decides for AF ablation

- Early AF recurrence after 3 weeks treated with CV
- Recurrent atrial tachycardia, required second ablation



Currently fells significantly better in sinus rhythm EF is 45-50%. Off AAD

Pt journey 3

- 72 years old man has episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation
- Symptoms have been generally controlled with diltiazem
- He had an episode of pre-syncope while splitting wood
- Wife brought him to the ER where he had another event



Options:

A- Restrict activities

B- Stop diltiazem

C- Pacemaker implant

Sinus node dysfunction often coehists with AF







Pacemaker performed under conscious sedation



Discharge home next day

Pt journey 4

- Frail 82 years old with permanent atrial fibrillation
- Reports fatigue and dizziness
- AVG HR 100 bpm despite maximum tolerated BB and CCB

Options

A- Amiodarone

B- Digoxin

C- AF ablation

D- PPM and AV node ablation

Pt opted for pacemaker and AV node ablation



What have we achieved



No need for rate controlling drugs

PPM + AV NODE ABL Improves quality of life and decreases hospitalization

Pt journey 5

- 79 years old lady with permanent AF
- Reports no symptoms from AF
- She had tolerated DOAC for many years
- Until recently she had a major GI bleeding

Options

A- Stop anticoagulation indefinitely

B- Resume oral anticoagulation

C- Left atrial appendage occlude device implant

Appendage occlusion as an alternative to prevent stroke in AF





CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION: Stroke Prevention in Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation With LAA Closure



Reddy, V.Y. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70(24):2964-75.

Vivek Y. Reddy et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; 70:2964-2975



Percutaneous Approaches to Occlude the LAA

Re	ecomm	endation for Percutaneous Approaches to Occlude the LAA
		Recommendation
llb	B-NR	Percutaneous LAA occlusion may be considered in patients with AF at increased risk of stroke who have contraindications to long-term anticoagulation. NEW: Clinical trial data and FDA approval of the Watchman device necessitated this recommendation.

2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation



Back to our pt

She was referred to our left atrial appendage occlude device clinic Starts DOAC 3 weeks prior to the procedure Procedure performed under GA, TEE, venous access Discharged home next day on anticoagulation + aspirin TEE 30 days post procedure demonstrates good device position Stops anticoagulation and starts clopidogrel x 6 months Long term baby aspirin

